IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF MARYLAND (NORTHERN DIVISION)

YAHYI SHIHEED #45539 *

Plaintiff, *

v. * Case No.: 17-cv-01595-GLR

ELLWOOD LYLE *

Defendant. *

*

* * * * * * * * * * * *

JOINT MOTION TO MODIFY THE SCHEDULING ORDER

Pursuant to the Court's January 18, 2019 Scheduling Order (ECF No. 31) Plaintiff Yahyi Shiheed and Defendant Ellwood Lyle (collectively, the "Parties"), jointly request that the Court modify the scheduling order to stay discovery pending resolution of a Motion for Summary Judgment regarding the exhaustion of administrative remedies and states as follows:

- 1. The remaining discovery necessary for this matter includes that taking of depositions and any related additional written discovery that arises from those depositions. All of the remaining discovery directly relates to the underlying claims of the Complaint.
- 2. The Parties agree that discovery concerning Plaintiff's exhaustion of the administrative remedy procedure is completed.
- 3. The Parties believe that staying the remaining discovery pending a ruling on a Motion for Summary Judgment regarding administrative exhaustion that Defendant intends to file is the most efficient way to proceed and promotes judicial economy. In conferring about this matter, the Parties believe that an earlier decision as to administrative exhaustion will at a

minimum narrow the scope of trial saving the Court's and the jurors' limited time and resources.

If summary judgment is granted on the basis of lack of administrative exhaustion, it would

obviate the need for further discovery.

4. Accordingly, the Parties request that this Court stay discovery pending a

resolution of the Motion for Summary Judgment to be filed by Defendant on, or before, April 15,

2020.

5. Following any ruling that does not dispose of the entire Complaint, the Parties

will have ninety (90) days to complete the remaining discovery and will submit a joint status

report upon completion.

WHEREFORE, the Parties jointly move for an Order modifying the Scheduling Order as

set forth above and in the attached proposed order.

Respectfully submitted,

Dated: March 10, 2020

/s/

Edward J. Baines (Bar No. 06776)

Gregory L. Waterworth (Bar No. 20938)

SAUL EWING ARNSTEIN & LEHR LLP

500 East Pratt Street - 8th Floor

Baltimore, MD 21202-3133

(410) 332-8600 (telephone)

(410) 332-8288 (facsimile)

ted.baines@saul.com

greg.waterworth@saul.com

Counsel for Plaintiff, Yahyi Shiheed

2

 $/_{\rm S}/$

Stephanie Lane-Weber (Bar No. 00023) Assistant Attorney General St. Paul Plaza – 19th Floor 200 St. Paul Place Baltimore, MD 21202 (410) 576-6340 (telephone) (410) 576-6880 (facsimile) slaneweber@oag.state.md.us

Counsel for Defendant, Ellwood Lyle

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that, on March 10, 2020, the foregoing Joint Motion to Modify the Scheduling Order and proposed Order will be served using the Court's CM/ECF system on the following:

Brian E. Frosh, Attorney General of Maryland Stephanie Lane-Weber, Assistant Attorney General Federal Bar No. 00023 St. Paul Plaza – 19th Floor 200 St. Paul Place Baltimore, MD 21202 (410) 576-6340 (telephone) (410) 576-6880 (facsimile) slaneweber@oag.state.md.us

> _____/s/ Gregory L. Waterworth

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF MARYLAND (NORTHERN DIVISION)

				-				•					
YAHYI SHIHEED #45539					*								
Plaintiff,						*							
v.						*	Ca	se No.:	17-cv-	01595-0	GLR		
ELLWOOD LYLE						*	*						
Defendant.						*							
*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	
					<u>C</u>	<u>ORDER</u>							
	Upo	n conside	ration o	f the Pa	arties' J	oint Mo	tion to	Modify	the So	hedulin	g Order	r, it is	
hereby	ORE	DERED th	at:										
	1.	The Mo	The Motion is GRANTED;										
	2.	Discov	Discovery is STAYED; and that										
	3.		The hearing on Defendant's Motion for Summary Judgment shall proceed as										
follow		THE III	uring o	п Беге	induit 5	Wiotion	101 54	iiiiiiai y	Juagin	icht sha	n proce	ved us	
IOHOW	5.												
	Defendant's Motion for Summ Judgment Due					ımary	nary April 15, 2020						
		Plaintiff's Opposition Due					April 29, 2020						
	Defendant's Reply Due							May	20, 20	20			
	4.	It is fu	It is further ORDERED that following any ruling that does not dispose of all										
causes	of a	action in	their er	ntirety,	the Par	ties sha	ll have	ninety	(90)	days to	comple	ete all	
remain	ning (discovery	and sha	all file	a joint	status	report a	it the	conclus	ion of	the disc	covery	
deadlii	ne.												
	Date	. .											
	Dail	·•				Ī	Ion, Ge	orge Le	evi Russ	sell, III			